

REMARKS:

Claims 2-5, 7-17, 19-27, 29-34 and 36-41 are pending in this application of which
Claims 2-5, 7-17, 19-20, 25, 29-30, 34-35 are amended. Claims 1, 6, 18, 28 and 35 are
5 deleted. Claims 39-41 are new.

Regarding Claims 2-4:

Claims 2-4 have been amended to depend on Claim 5.

Regarding Claim 5:

Claim 5 has been amended to include all the limitations of Claim 1 as suggested
10 by the Examiner. On page 5 of the current office action the Examiner suggested that
Claim 5 would be allowable if written in independent form.

Regarding Claim 7:

Claim 7 has been amended to include all the limitations of Claim 6 as suggested
by the Examiner. On page 5 of the current office action the Examiner suggested that
15 Claim 7 would be allowable if written in independent form.

Regarding Claim 8:

Claim 8 has been amended to include all the limitations of Claim 6 as suggested
by the Examiner. On page 5 of the current office action the Examiner suggested that
Claim 8 would be allowable if written in independent form.

20 **Regarding Claims 9-17:**

Claims 9-17 have each been amended to depend on either Claims 7 or 8. Claim
11 is amended as requested by the Examiner.

Regarding Claim 19:

Claim 19 has been amended to depend on Claims 20.

Regarding Claim 20:

Claim 20 has been amended to include all the limitations of Claim 18 as suggested by the Examiner. On page 5 of the current office action the Examiner
5 suggested that Claim 20 would be allowable if written in independent form.

Regarding Claim 25:

Claim 25 has been amended to correct grammar.

Regarding Claim 29:

Claim 29 has been amended to depend on Claim 30.

10 **Regarding Claim 30:**

Claim 30 has been amended to include all the limitations of Claim 28 as suggested by the Examiner. On page 5 of the current office action the Examiner suggested that Claim 30 would be allowable if written in independent form.

Regarding Claim 34:

15 Claim 34 has been amended. On page 2 of the current office action the Examiner suggested that Claim 35 should be edited to remove the reference to FIG. 7. Claim 34 has been amended to reflect the chemical formula of the compound shown in FIG. 7.

Regarding new Claims 39-41:

20 New claims 39-41 are dependent on Claims 7 or 8 which are written in independent form. On page 5 of the current office action the Examiner suggested that Claims 7 and 8 would be allowable if written in independent form.

Applicants believe that all pending claims are allowable and respectfully request that the Examiner issue a Notice of Allowance. Should the Examiner have questions, the Applicant may be reached at the number provided below.

5

Respectfully submitted,

Steven M. Colby, Ph.D.

10 Date: February 28, 2005



Steven M. Colby, Reg. No. 50,250

Phone (650) 346-4502

Fax (650) 812-3444